UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/564,306 | 05/15/2006 | Colin McKellar | 3700.P0407US | 8962 |
| | 7590 11/17/200 L BOUTELL & TANIS | EXAMINER | | |
| 2026 RAMBLII | NG ROAD | NGUYEN, HAU H | | |
| KALAMAZOO, MI 49008-1631 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | 2628 | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 11/17/2009 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|-----------------|--|
| 10/564,306 | MCKELLAR, COLIN | |
| | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |

| | HAU H. NGUYEN | 2628 | | | | | |
|---|--|--|----------------------|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the o | correspondence add | ress | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 30 October 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. | | | | | | | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: | | | | | | | |
| a) \boxtimes The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date | of the final rejection. | | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(the content of the period for reply expires and the content of the period for the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expires to the period for reply expires to the period for reply expires to the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expires to the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of the period for reply expires to the period for reply expires | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | g date of the final rejection | n. | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | | | | | | | |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>30 October 2009</u> . A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS | | | | | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b | out prior to the date of filing a brief, | will not be entered be | cause | | | | |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor | | | | | | | |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below | | | | | | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beti | er form for appeal by materially red | ducing or simplifying t | ne issues for | | | | |
| appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c | corresponding number of finally reje | ected claims | | | | | |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | onesponding number of finding reje | oted cidii 113. | | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | 21. See attached Notice of Non-Co | mpliant Amendment (| PTOL-324). | | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | | | | | |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | | timely filed amendmer | nt canceling the | | | | |
| 7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>14-16,23 and 26-29</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | . h . f | | | | | | |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se | al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1 | s to provide a). | | | | |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after er | ntry is below or attach | ed. | | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. | does NOT place the application in | condition for allowan | ce because: | | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)13. Other: | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | /Hau H Nguyen/ Primary Examiner, Art U | nit 2628 | | | | | |

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the reasons given in the previous Office Action. Specifically, Sanz-Pastor does teach "means for filtering the tile buffer contents for each tile in turn to generate at least one lower level of mipmap data from the scene data" cited in col. 14, Il. 36-61. In addition, Sanz-Pastor further teaches temporarily storing each lower level of mipmap data in the tile buffer (Fig. 12). The secondary reference Solomon is utilized to teach storing each lower level of the mipmap data in a system main memory, wherein the filtering means and the temporary storing means generate a predetermined number of mipmap levels to for the mipmap chain of the texture images as shown in Figs. 3, 8, and 9, col. 15, Il. 1-10.